


Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/670,202	BATTENBERG, WILLIAM D.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Hugh B. Thompson II	3634	

All Participants:

(1) Hugh B. Thompson II(PTO).

(2) Mr. Donals Schoonover.

Status of Application: newly filed

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 4 November 2004
Time: 1pm(approx)
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

1-10

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant was informed of the allowability of claims 1 and 10, specifically the first and second deploying means/mechanisms. Applicant also agrees to amend claims 1-3 to provide proper use of means plus function language, i.e., "means for displacing"(claim 1), "means for controlling"(claim 1), "a ladder"(claim 1), "a housing"(claim 1), and provide proper antecedent basis for "the ladder section"(in claim 3), and for claims 2-9, "The ladder". .